

Application No.: 10/660,142  
Amendment dated: October 19, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of July 19, 2004  
Attorney Docket No.: 21295.64 (H5665US)

b.) Remarks

Please cancel claim 6.

Claims 1-5 and 7 are now pending in this application. Claims 1-4 stand rejected under 35 USC 102, and claim 5 stands rejected under 35 USC 103. Claims 6 and 7 were objected to, and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claim 6 depended from claim 1, while claim 7 depended in turn from claim 6. Claim 2 is objected to for antecedent basis for "the . . . screen."

Claim 6 has been rewritten in independent form via being cancelled and incorporated into claim 1. Claim 1 has also been amended to provide a basis for the "screen" in claim 2. Claim 7 has been rewritten in independent form. Claims 2-5 now depend on now allowable and newly amended claim 1, and are therefore also in condition for allowance.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for bringing the McCormick, Lihl and Gordon documents to their attention.

Applicant believes that the present application is in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited. Should any questions arise, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

HOUSTON ELISEEVA LLP

By Maria Eliseeva  
Maria Eliseeva  
4 Militia Drive, Ste. 4  
Lexington, MA 02421  
Registration No.: 43,328  
Tel.: 781 863 9991  
Fax: 781 863 9931

Date: October 19, 2004